

ATTORNEY REGISTRATION AND DISCIPLINARY COMMISSION of the SUPREME COURT OF ILLINGIS

COMMISSIONERS: JAMES H. BANDY GEORGE J. COTSIRILOS STUART M. MAMER WILLIAM P. SUTTER

Chicago April 25, 1977

CARL H. ROLEWICK

To the Honorable, the Chief Justice and Justices of the Supreme Court of Illinois:

This report is submitted pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 751(e) and (f) and is a statement of the activities of the Attorney Registration and Disciplinary Commission, the administrator's office and the boards of the disciplinary system for calendar year 1976.

#### A. REGISTRATION

As of December 31, 1976 a total of 29,750 attorneys were registered on the Master Roll:

Classification	Attorneys
Admitted 1 year or less	2.372
Admitted 1 to 5 years	4.806
Admitted more than 5 years	18.104
Admitted 50 years or more	137
On active military duty	141
75 years of age or older	
Neither practices, resides nor is employed in Illinois	3,235
1976 registration fee excused (hardship)	65

In-state attorney population, by county of principal office, is shown on the following map:



#### **B. INCOME AND EXPENDITURES**

The income resulting from registration, penalties for late registration, interest received from the investment of cash reserves for the period from

January 1, 1976 through December 31, 1976 was as follows: 1. 1976 registration fees including penalties and delinquent Interest received from investment of cash reserves Other miscellaneous income \$425,670.00 14,764.62 217.60 

 3. Other miscellaneous income
 14,764.62

 217.60
 217.60

 Cash disbursements made during the period January 1, 1976 through

 December 31, 1976 were as follows:

 1. Salaries of administrator and staff

 2. Social security taxes

 3. Hospital, medical, life, Workmen's compensation and unemployment compensation insurance

 14,764.62

 2. Social security taxes

 3. Hospital, medical, life, Workmen's compensation and unemployment compensation insurance

 14,713.74

 4. Office rentals and utilities

 5. Travel expenses (commissioners, staff, inquiry, hearing and review boards)

 17,143.94

 6. Postage

 8. Office equipment rents

 17,143.9414,341.966,033.4616,262.48 Postage
 Office equipment rental
 Library, office supplies and expenses
 Insurance (fire, theft and extended coverage)
 Auditing, bookkeeping, payroll and other professional services
 Data processing and registration expenses
 Court reporting expenses for disciplinary proceedings
 Witness fees and related expenses
 Seminar expenses
 Depreciation expenses (office 8.067.98 714.93 6,398.00 31,887.85 12,303.78 1,634.60 2.226.92 16. Depreciation expenses (office equipment) 4,837.28 Total Operating Expenses \$503,475.25

Net operating loss for the period January 1, 1976 through December 31, 1976 17. Other extraordinary expenses:\* (a) prior years social security, state & federal \$ 62.823.03

	\$66,186.31 7,168.10	73,354.41	
	Net Loss	\$136,177.44	

\*After several unsuccessful applications for Social Security coverage for the staff, the Internal Revenue Service on January 29, 1976 ruled that the Commission is exempt from federal income taxes but is liable for taxes under the Federal Income Contributions Act (Social Security). That ruling required that the Commission pay social security and state and federal unemployment taxes from its inception (February 1, 1973).

#### C. STAFF DEVELOPMENT

As of December 31, 1976, the full time staff of the administrator's office consisted of the administrator and: 1. In the Springfield office:

a. attorney:

a. attorney;
b. administrative assistant;
c. secretary;
d. receptionist/typist;
2. In the Chicago office:
a. assistant administrator and chief counsel;

a. assistant administrator and chief counsel; b. assistant administrator and chief investigator; c. attorneys (three); d. investigators (three); e. administrative assistant; f. clerk; g. secretaries (two);

g. secretaries (two); h. receptionist/typist. Part time employment of clerical help is utilized in both the Springfield and Chicago offices. The nature of the registration process necessitates such

#### **D. DISCIPLINARY RULE CHANGES**

As a result of recommendations from the Commission, the Court made the following disciplinary rule changes on September 28, 1976 effective November 15, 1976: Rule 753 was amended: 1. To provide for a single inquiry board and a single hearing board for the entire state. The commission was empowered to make all appointments to both boards and to determine the number of panels necessary to carry on the work of the boards; 2. To elimineta the necessity for the administrator to "enply" for region

2. To eliminate the necessity for the administrator to "apply" for review, and to permit the attorney respondent or the administrator to file excep-tions to the report of the hearing board; and 3. To provide for a method by which the administrator may petition for leave to appeal a review board report or order without making a record of that petition unless it is allowed by the Court. Rule 754 was amended in order to bring it into compliance with the changes in Rule 753, particularly the elimination of separate inquiry and hearing boards for Cook County and downstate Illinois. Rule 766 (confidentiality of proceedings) was modified to permit the administrator: 1. To confirm that a petition for temporary suspension upon conviction

administrator: 1. To confirm that a petition for temporary suspension upon conviction of a crime was filed with the Court. 2. To disclose (with the permission of the Court) to a person who would be prejudiced without the knowledge that a disciplinary complaint is pend-ing acquired an attenney.

and a state of the state of the

#### E. THE CASELOAD

E. THE CASELOAD Charges Received During 1976 a total of 2,144 communications were received alleging attorney misconduct. In addition, 33 investigations were instituted by the administrator on his own motion arising from information received from sources such as news media reports and information from other investiga-tions. Of the 2,177 new charges received during 1976, a total of 427 stated facts which could not be construed to involve professional misconduct and the attorneys concerned were not required to respond to them. The attor-neys whose conduct was the subject of the remaining 1,750 charges were required to respond. In all cases in which the attorneys were required to respond, copies of their responses were forwarded to the complainants to elicit further infor-mation or comments. Upon the completion of this initial correspondence, and any indicated preliminary investigation, each file was reviewed by a staff attorney to evaluate the need for further inquiry or investigation. Following that review, if the facts developed did not disclose conduct which would constitute professional misconduct calling for a disciplinary pro-ceeding, the chairman or the vice-chairman of the inquiry board, upon the recommendation of the administrator, may dismiss the charge as provided by Commission Rule 1.5. A total of 884 charges were terminated in this manner.

#### **Reference To Inquiry Board**

Reference To Inquiry Board When the information developed by the correspondence and the initial investigation indicates possible misconduct, the matter is referred to the inquiry board for further investigation and evaluation. In this period 888 files were referred to panels of the inquiry board. Panels of the inquiry board consist of 3 members and meet approxi-mately once a month to review the pending files and to interview com-plainants, attorneys and witnesses. Individual panel members may investi-gate complaints, personally but generally use the services of the administra-tor's investigators. At their meetings, the panels frequently interview the complement

tor's investigators. At their meetings, the panels frequently interview the complaining witness and the attorney-respondent. Before a complaint is voted each attorney-respondent, as required by Commission Rule 2.2, has the oppor-tunity to appear on his own behalf, with counsel if he desires, before a panel, or a member of a panel, to discuss the charges which have been made against him. At the conclusion of its investigation the inquiry panel votes either to dismiss the charge or to file a complaint for a disciplinary proceeding against the attorney-respondent. In 1976 the inquiry board dis-missed 709 charges and voted 82 complaints against 55 attorneys.

#### The Staff Attorneys

The staff Attorneys Upon the voting of a complaint the file is assigned to a staff attor-ney who prepares and files the complaint. That attorney continues to be responsible for the case through hearing and review by the review board and the Supreme Court. Staff attorneys are also responsible for attending and assisting at inquiry panel meetings and maintaining the records of the proceedings, defending petitions for reinstatement and representing the Commission or administrator in suits filed in the circuit court and federal district court relating to the work of the disciplinary system\*

\*Tesar v. Attorney Registration Commission, U.S. District Court, 75 C 1382, U.S. Court of Appeals 75-1629, U.S. Supreme Court 75-6739.
Gottschalk v. Rolewick, Circuit Court of Cook County, 76 CH 1985.
Madsen v. Rolewick, U.S. District Court, 76 L 1287.
Benjamin Ehrlich v. Sharl, Supreme Court of Illinois M.R. 1755.
Mitan v. Attorney Registration and Disciplinary Commission, Circuit Court of Cook County, 76 L 12002, 76 CH 3937, Supreme Court of Illinois, M.R. 1849. 1862

People, ex rel. Rolewick v. Circuit Court of Cook County, Supreme Court of fillinois, 48863. In re John M. Daley, U.S. District Court, 71 GJ 3567, U.S. Court of Appeals,

76-1657.

#### The Hearing Board

In 1976, complaints against 40 attorneys were filed with the hearing board. In addition, 2 petitions for transfer to inactive status and 13 peti-tions for reinstatement were referred to that board. Hearings were con-ducted in 50 cases and 49 cases were terminated by reports and recom-mendations.

#### **Review Board**

The review board meets once each month to hear and consider oral arguments and briefs and, in 1976, completed the review of 30 cases. Included in its reports to the Supreme Court were recommendations for 5 disbarments, 7 suspensions, 5 censures, 5 reinstatements and the denial of 2 petitions for reinstatement. The board dismissed 4 disciplinary complaints and remanded 2 cases to the hearing board for further proceedings.

#### Supreme Court

Supreme Court and 36 were terminated The terminated cases included 3 disbarments, 5 suspensions, 3 censures, 6 temporary suspensions (and 1 not allowed), 1 transfer to inactive status (and 1 not allowed), 2 reinstatements allowed and 3 denied, and the allow-ance of 10 motions to strike attorneys' names from the roll (and 1 not allowed)

ance of 10 motions to strike attorneys' names from the roll (and 1 not allowed). The disciplinary matters concluded in 1976, either by final order of the Supreme Court following hearing and review or by the allowance of attor-neys' motions to strike their names from the roll of attorneys, included charges of the following acts of misconduct:\* conviction of a crime-15; conversion-10; estate mismanagement-3; practice during suspension-1; fraud-1; neglect-9; and conflict of interest-2. The following four statistical charts detail the disciplinary caseload of the Administrator's Office, the Inquiry Board, the Hearing Board, the Review Board and the Supreme Court for the period from January 1, 1976 through December 31, 1976.

# Respectfully submitted, Attorney Registration and Disciplinary Commission Lester Asher, Chairman James H. Bandy George J. Cotsirilos Stuart M. Mamer William P. Sutter

\*A single complaint may charge multiple offenses

#### CHART 1

THE TREND OF INVESTIGATIONS IN THE ADMINISTRATOR'S OFFICE AND BEFORE THE INQUIRY BOARD DURING THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 1976 THROUGH DECEMBER 31,-1976

		New Ch Docke			Files Terminated				
	Pending at Start	from Indi- viduals	from Adminis- trator	Total Added	Dismissed by Inquiry Chairman and Admin- istrator	Dismissed by Panel	Complaints Voted by Inquiry Panel	Total Termi- nated During Period	Pending at End
Chicago	491	1436	16	1452	810	5,02	61	1373	570
Springfield	243	281	17	298	74	207	21	302	239
· TOTAL	734	1717	33	1750	884	709	82	1675	809

CHART 2

THE TREND OF MATTERS BEFORE THE HEARING BOARD DURING THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 1976 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 1976

	Fending at Start	Disciplinary Complaints	Petitions for Transfer to Inactive Status Rule 758 <sup>t</sup>	Petitions for Reinstatement Rules 759** and 767***	Total Added
Chicago	21	33	2	11	46
Springfield	5	7	0	2	9
TOTAL	26	40	2	13	55

\* Menta ntal disability or addiction drugs or intoxicants \*\*\* Upon termination of disability \*\*\* After disbarment or discipli-nary suspension

	Cases Terminated						
Repo For Discipline	rt and Recom Petitions for Transfer to Inactive Status	Mendation Petitions for Reinstate- ment	Dismissed	Reprimand by Hearing Board	Name Stricken on own Motion Prior to or During Hearing	Total Termi- nated	Pending at End
15	Status	9	10	2	1	38	29
8	0	2	1	0	oʻ.	11	3
23	1	11	11	2	1	49	32

#### CHART 3

### TREND OF MATTERS BEFORE THE REVIEW BOARD DURING THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 1976 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 1976



Discipline Recommended By Review Board to Supreme Court

	Suspen	sion		Petitions for Reinstatement				
Disbarment	for Fixed Period	Until Further Order of the Court	Cenșure	<b>Allowed</b>	Not Allowed	Repri- mand by Review Board	Dis- missed by Review Board	Re- manded to Hearing Board
5	2	5	5	5	2	0	4	2

#### CHART 4

TREND OF DISCIPLINARY MATTERS BEFORE THE SUPREME COURT DURING THE FERIOD JANUARY 1, 1976 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 1976

		1	Petitions							
_	Disci- plinary Cases	Tempor Suspen		Sta	tive tus ·770	Strike from			state- ent	TOTAL
Pending at Start	2	1		o		0		. 7		10
FILED	15	14		7		15		11		62
TERMINATED	11		Not Allowed	Allowed	Not Allowed	Allowed	Not Allowed	Allowed 2	Not Allowed	36
Pending at End	6	6	*	5	<u>+</u>	4		13		36

. Discipline Ordered						
Dísbar	Suspend	Censure	Dismiss			
3	5	3	0			

\*Includes 3 reports to the Supreme Court pursuant to Rule 754 (failure to comply with a subpoena).

#### Alexander X. Kuhn & Co. CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

To the Commissioners and Administrator of the Attorney Registration and Disciplinary Commission of the Supreme Court of Illinois 203 North Wabash Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60601

Gentlemen.

We have examined the financial statements of the Attorney Registration and Disciplinary Commission of the Supreme Court of Illinois for the year ended December 31, 1976.

SCOPE OF EXAMINATION

Our examination was made in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, and accordingly included such tests of the accounting records and such other auditing procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

#### ACCOUNTANTS' OPINION

In our opinion, the accompanying financial statements present fairly the financial position of the Attorney Registration and Disciplinary Commission of the Supreme Court of Illinois at December 31, 1976 and the results of its operations and the changes in its financial position for the year then ended, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles applied on a basis consistent with that of the preceding year.

Respectfully submitted,

Alepander Z. Jinka + Co. Certified Public Accountants

Dated: February 11, 1977 Hillside, Illinois

INCOME

ATTORNEY	REGISTRATION AND DISCIPLINARY COMMISSION	
	OF THE	
	SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS	
	BALANCE SHEET	
	December 31, 1976	
ASSETS		

CURRENT ASSETS Cash In Bank & On Hand Investments (At Cost) Prepaid Expenses	97,550.97 560,811.08 1,241.73	659,603.78
FIXED ASSETS Office Furniture, Equipment & Library Less: Accumulated Depreciation	45,485.76 12,899.28	32,586.48
Total Assets	<u></u>	692,190.26
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES CURRENT LIABILITIES Accounts Payable Deferred Income	22,472.21 588,895.00	611,367.21
FUND BALANCES Unrestricted Funds Physical Asset Replacement Funds	70,261.05 10,562.00	80,823.05
Total Liabilities and Fund Balances		692,190.26

## ATTORNEY REGISTRATION AND DISCIPLINARY COMMISSION OF THE SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS INCOME STATEMENT

Year ended December 31, 1976

Attorney Registration Fees & Charges Collected Interest Received on Investments Other Miscellaneous Income	425,670.00 14,764.62 217.60	440,652.22
OPERATING EXPENSES Salaries Social Security Taxes Other Employee Benefits Office Rentals & Utilities Travel & Related Expenses Telephone & Postage Office Equipment Rental, Supplies & Expense Insurance Outside Professional Services, Data Processing & Registration, Court Reporting & Witness Expense Depreclation Expense Seminar Expense	$\begin{array}{c} 311,697.79\\ 16,339.91\\ 14,713.74\\ 38,870.63\\ 17,143.94\\ 20,375.42\\ 24,330.46\\ 714.93\\ 52,224.23\\ 4,837.28\\ 2,226.92\\ \end{array}$	503,475.25
NET OPERATING LOSS OTHER EXTRAORDINARY EXPENSES Prior Years Social Security, State & Federal Unemployment Taxes Interest Paid on Back Taxes	66,186.31 7,168.10	62,823.03 73,354.41
NET LOSS		136,177.44

ATTORNEY REGISTRATION AND DI OF THE	(SCIPLINAR)	COMMISS	SION
SUPREME COURT OF STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN Year ended Decemb	FINANCIAL	POSITION	
USE OF WORKING CAPITAL Net Loss Fixed Assets Purchased		136,177.44 6,612.59	142,790.03
SOURCES OF WORKING CAPITAL Item Included Above Not Involving Expe of Cash: Depreciation of Fixed Assets	enditure		4,837.28
DECREASE IN WORKING CAPITAL			137,952.75
CURRENT ASSETS CURRENT LIABLITIES TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL	DECEM 1975 551,137.61 364,948.29 186,189.32	659,603.78 611,367.21	
DECREASE IN WORKING CAPITAL			137,952.75

ATTORNEY REGISTRATION AND DISCIPLINARY COMMISSION OF THE SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS GENERAL NOTES December 31, 1976

#### A) ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A) ACCOUNTING POLICIES The books and other financial records of the Commission are recorded on an accrued basis. The accounting year of the Commission is December 31, as established by a resolution by the Commissioners dated May 21, 1975. Fixed Assets are carried at cost less accumulated depreciation computed on a straight-line method over an estimated useful life of six to ten years. The Commissioners have created a fund for the replacement of physical assets. The amount appropriated for the year ended December 31, 1976 was \$2,500. The Fund Balance at December 31, 1976 totals \$10,562.00. The Commission has been advised that the Supreme Court has approved the Commissions recommendation that the 1977 Attorney Registration Fee be increased from \$10.00 to \$15.00 for attorneys admitted more than one year but less than five, and from \$20.00 to \$30.00 for attorneys admitted more than five years.

#### B) 1976 UNCOLLECTED FEES

B) 1976 UNCOLLECTED FEES Registrations mailed to attorneys for 1976 fees, payment which was due January 1, 1976, and which had failed to return such registrations, num-bered 442 with \$3,330.00 due in amount. These are not carried as receivables since it cannot be determined that the amount is owed. The Commission has mailed followup registrations for the amounts due. Past experience has indicated that most of these attorneys have either moved from the state or are deceased. The Commission continues to make every effort to find the cause of nonpayment.

#### C) INVESTMENTS

All investments are handled by the Trust Department of the Illinois National Bank of Springfield and all investments are held in safe keeping at the bank.

#### **D) COLLECTION OF FEES**

The Commission is funded by an annual registration fee assessed against Illinois attorneys. The annual fee is sent directly to a lock box located at the Springfield, Illinois U. S. Post Office. The box is under the sole supervision of the Illinois National Bank of Springfield. The contents of the box are accounted for solely by the bank. The bank's accounting is then compared to the registration and billing lists as maintained by the Computer Service of the bank. This system is test checked by our audit and also by the Internal Auditors at the bank.

#### **E) LEASE COMMITMENTS**

The Commission has the following major lease commitments existing at December 31, 1976: 1. Chicago Office—9/1/75 through 8/31/80 Present value of lease commitment \$104,840.00 2. Springfield Office—10/1/74 through 1/31/80 Present value of lease commitment \$28,013.81

#### F) TAXABLE STATUS

On January 29, 1976 the Internal Revenue Service from Washington, D.C., officially recognized the Commission as a tax exempt organization under Section 501(c)(6) of the Internal Revenue Code. Under the letter of determination the Commission is exempt from Federal Income Tax. How-ever, it is required to annually file Form 990 (a solely informational return) with the I.R.S.

#### **G) DEFERRED INCOME**

On November 1, 1976 registrations for the calendar year 1977 were mailed to all attorneys. Any registration fees received from the mailing date to December 31, 1976 are deferred. The amount received during such period was \$588,895.00 which will represent income in 1977.

May-13