

FILED
6/21/2022 6:11 PM
ARDC Clerk

BEFORE THE HEARING BOARD
OF THE
ILLINOIS ATTORNEY REGISTRATION
AND
DISCIPLINARY COMMISSION

In the Matter of:)
)
HEDWIG SARNICKI,) Commission No. 2022PR00041
)
Attorney-Respondent,)
)
No. 6208136)

NOTICE OF FILING

To: Mr. Jerome Larkin
ardcceservice@iadc.org

Ms. Rachel C. Miller
rmiller@iadc.org

Ms. Tammy Evans
tevans@iadc.org

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on June 21, 2022, I filed with the Illinois Attorney Registration Disciplinary Commission the attached Respondent's Answer To Complaint.

Respectfully submitted,
/s/ Hedwig Sarnicki
Hedwig Sarnicki, Respondent (pro se)

Hedwig Sarnicki
4831 N. Central Avenue
Unit 107
Chicago, IL 60630
(773) 794-0675
lnhlawgroup@gmail.com
ARDC # 6208136

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned, as attorney, certifies that on June 21, 2022, a copy of the foregoing Notice and Answer were e-mailed to the above-referenced.

/s/ Hedwig Sarnicki
Hedwig Sarnicki, Respondent (pro se)

BEFORE THE HEARING BOARD
OF THE
ILLINOIS ATTORNEY REGISTRATION
AND
DISCIPLINARY COMMISSION

In the Matter of:)	
)	
HEDWIG SARNICKI,)	Commission No. 2022PR00041
)	
Attorney-Respondent,)	
)	
No. 6208136)	

RESPONDENT'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

Now Comes Respondent, Hedwig Sarnicki, pro se, and presents this Answer to the Complaint of the Illinois Attorney Registration and Disciplinary Commission, and states the following:

1. Respondent admits allegations in Paragraph 1.
2. Respondent admits allegations in Paragraph 2.
3. Respondent admits allegations in Paragraph 3.
4. Respondent admits allegations in Paragraph 4.
5. Respondent admits Supreme Court Rule 764 provides as stated in Paragraph 5.
6. Respondent admits allegations in Paragraph 6.
7. Respondent admits allegations in part as to Paragraph 7, but denies that Daniel Rozenstrauch started Conflict Resolutions and Mediation Services in his former office at 6333 N. Milwaukee Ave., which was Office #108. Conflict Resolutions was started at a separate office at that location, Office #107.
8. Respondent admits that she started a general law practice, LNH Law Group, at 6333 N. Milwaukee Ave., Chicago, IL, Office #108. Respondent knew that Daniel Rozenstrauch was disbarred. Respondent denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 8.
9. Respondent admits allegations in Paragraph 9.
10. Respondent denies allegations in Paragraph 10.
11. Respondent has no personal knowledge sufficient to admit or deny allegations in Paragraph 11.

FILED
6/21/2022 6:11 PM
ARDC Clerk

12. Respondent has no personal knowledge sufficient to admit or deny allegations in Paragraph 12.
13. Respondent has no personal knowledge sufficient to admit or deny allegations in Paragraph 13.
14. Respondent has no personal knowledge sufficient to admit or deny allegations in Paragraph 14.
15. Respondent admits allegations in Paragraph 15.
16. On information and belief, Ralph Zaborowski authorized Respondent to file a Claim on his behalf. Respondent denies remaining allegation in Paragraph 16 and demands a strict proof thereof.
17. Respondent denies that she did not communicate with Ralph prior to filing the Claim, but admits she did not communicate with Ralph after the filing.
18. Respondent admits that Ralph received benefits after the Claim was filed. Respondent admits that Ralph notified Daniel Rozenstrauch when the benefits terminated.
19. Respondent admits Daniel Rozenstrauch contacted Marc Perper about taking over Ralph's Workmen Compensation case. Respondent does not have sufficient personal knowledge to admit or deny the remaining allegations in Paragraph 19.
20. Respondent has no personal knowledge sufficient to admit or deny allegations in Paragraph 20.
21. Respondent has no personal knowledge sufficient to admit or deny allegations in Paragraph 21.
22. Respondent admits allegations in Paragraph 22.
23. On information and belief Respondent recollects signing and mailing substitution paperwork. Respondent admits she did not communicate directly with Bednarz or Ralph regarding the substitution paperwork.
24. Respondent admits allegations in Paragraph 24.
25. Respondent admits allegations in Paragraph 25.
26. Respondent denies allegations in Paragraph 26 in its entirety, including subparagraphs a – f.

WHEREFORE, for all the reasons stated, Respondent, Hedwig Sarnicki, pro se, respectfully requests that this Administrator deny the relief requested, dismiss the Complaint and recommend that no discipline is warranted.

Rule 231 Disclosures:

- a. Respondent admitted to practice in the US District Court, Northern District of Illinois,
- b. No other professional licenses or certificates,
- c. Respondent has practiced law since 1991 and has never been disciplined,
- d. Respondent is 75 years of age and has been in the process of retirement from law.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Hedwig Sarnicki

Hedwig Sarnicki, Respondent (pro se)

Hedwig Sarnicki
4831 N. Central Avenue
Unit 107
Chicago, IL 60630
(773) 794-0675
lnhlawgroup@gmail.com
ARDC # 6208136

STATE OF ILLINOIS)
) ss
COUNT OF COOK)

Respondent, Hedwig Sarnicki, being first duly sworn upon oath, deposes and states pursuant to Section 2-610(b) of the Illinois Code of Civil Procedure, that she has read Respondent's Answer to Complaint, and with respect to those answers therein asserting lack of knowledge, Respondent lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of those allegations of the Complaint.

/s/ Hedwig Sarnicki
Hedwig Sarnicki